arXiv:1602.05767v1 [gr-qc] 18 Feb 2016

Nonlinear Spinor Fields in Bianchi type-V spacetime

Bijan Saha

Laboratory of Information Technologies
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia[*]

A self-consistent system of nonlinear spinor and Bianchi type-V anisotropic gravitational fields are investigated. It is found that the presence of nontrivial non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field imposes some severe restrictions to the system. As a result two different solutions are found. In one case the metric functions are similar to each other, i.e., $a_1 \sim a_2 \sim a_3$ and the spinor mass and spinor field nonlinearity do not disappear from the system. In this case the spacetime expands with acceleration in case of a positive self-coupling constant λ. A negative λ gives rise to a cyclic or periodical mode of expansion. In the second case the spinor mass and the spinor field nonlinearity vanish and the Universe expands linearly with time.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq
Keywords: Spinor field, Bianchi type-V anisotropic cosmological models

[*] bijan@jinr.ru; http://bijansaha.narod.ru

I. INTRODUCTION

Study of spinor field in cosmology for more than two decades has firmly established its ability to overcome some longstanding problems of modern cosmology, such as, the initial singularity, isotropization of the initially anisotropic spacetime and late time accelerated mode of expansion of the Universe [1–22]. Especially after the detection and further experimental reconfirmation of current cosmic acceleration [23, 24] the spinor field was considered as an alternative model for dark energy in a number of papers[9–11, 13, 22]. Moreover, it was established that beside describing the different stages of the evolution of the Universe, spinor field can simulate perfect fluid and dark energy [25–29].

But some recent studies [30–32] show that the spinor field has more surprise for us. Due to its specific behavior in curve spacetime the spinor field can significantly change not only the geometry of spacetime but itself as well. The existence of nontrivial non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor plays a vital role in this matter. In [30, 31] it was shown that depending on the type restriction imposed on the non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor, the initially Bianchi type-I evolve into a LRS Bianchi type-I spacetime or FRW one from the very beginning, whereas the model may describe a general Bianchi type-I spacetime but in that case the spinor field becomes massless and linear. The same thing happens for a Bianchi type-VI_0 spacetime, i.e., the geometry of Bianchi type-VI_0 spacetime does not allow the existence of a massive and nonlinear spinor field in some particular cases [32].

A Bianchi type-V model describes an anisotropic but homogeneous Universe. This model was studied by several authors [7, 33–37], specially due to the existence of magnetic fields in galaxies which was proved by a number of astrophysical observations. Whereas, some dark energy model within the scope of a BV cosmology was studied in [38–40].

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the role of Bianchi type-V geometry on the evolution of the spinor field and vice versa.

II. BASIC EQUATION

Let us consider the case when the anisotropic spacetime is filled with nonlinear spinor field. The corresponding action can be given by

$$\mathscr{S}(g;\psi,\bar{\psi}) = \int L\sqrt{-g}d\Omega \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$L = L_{\rm g} + L_{\rm sp}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here $L_{\rm g}$ corresponds to the gravitational field

$$L_{\rm g} = \frac{R}{2\kappa}, \tag{2.3}$$

where R is the scalar curvature, $\kappa = 8\pi G$, with G being Newton's gravitational constant and $L_{\rm sp}$ is the spinor field Lagrangian.

A. Gravitational field

The gravitational field in our case is given by a Bianchi type-V anisotropic spacetime:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a_1^2 e^{2mx_3}dx_1^2 - a_2^2 e^{2mx_3}dx_2^2 - a_3^2 dx_3^2, \tag{2.4}$$

with a_1, a_2 and a_3 being the functions of time only and m is some arbitrary constants.

The nontrivial Christoffel symbols for (2.4) are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma^1_{01}=\frac{\dot{a_1}}{a_1},\quad \Gamma^2_{02}=\frac{\dot{a_2}}{a_2},\quad \Gamma^3_{03}=\frac{\dot{a_3}}{a_3},\\
&\Gamma^0_{11}=a_1\dot{a_1}e^{2mx_3},\quad \Gamma^0_{22}=a_2\dot{a_2}e^{2mx_3},\quad \Gamma^0_{33}=a_3\dot{a_3},\\
&\Gamma^1_{31}=m,\quad \Gamma^2_{32}=m,\quad \Gamma^3_{11}=-\frac{ma_1^2}{a_3^2}e^{2mx_3},\quad \Gamma^3_{22}=-\frac{ma_2^2}{a_3^2}e^{2mx_3}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

The nonzero components of the Einstein tensor corresponding to the metric (2.4) are

$$G^1_1=-\frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2}-\frac{\ddot{a}_3}{a_3}-\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}+\frac{m^2}{a_3^2},\tag{2.6a}$$

$$G^2_2=-\frac{\ddot{a}_3}{a_3}-\frac{\ddot{a}_1}{a_1}-\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}+\frac{m^2}{a_3^2},\tag{2.6b}$$

$$G^3_3=-\frac{\ddot{a}_1}{a_1}-\frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2}-\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}+\frac{m^2}{a_3^2},\tag{2.6c}$$

$$G^0_0=-\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}-\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}-\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}+\frac{3m^2}{a_3^2},\tag{2.6d}$$

$$G^0_3=m\Big(\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}+\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}-2\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\Big).\tag{2.6e}$$

B. Spinor field

Keeping in mind the symmetry between ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ [41] we choose the spinor field Lagrangian as [5]:

$$L_{\rm sp}=\frac{\imath}{2}\Big[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu\psi-\nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi\Big]-m_{\rm sp}\bar{\psi}\psi-F,\tag{2.7}$$

where the nonlinear term F describes the self-interaction of a spinor field and can be presented as some arbitrary functions of invariants generated from the real bilinear forms of a spinor field. On account of Fierz identity we choose the nonlinear term $F=F(K)$ with K taking one of the following expressions $\{I,J,I+J,I-J\}$, where $I=S^2=(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2$ and $J=P^2=\big(\imath\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi\big)^2$. As it was shown in [5], such a nonlinear term describes the nonlinearity in its most general form. Here ∇_μ is the covariant derivative of spinor field:

$$\nabla_\mu\psi=\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\mu}-\Gamma_\mu\psi,\quad \nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}=\frac{\partial\bar{\psi}}{\partial x^\mu}+\bar{\psi}\Gamma_\mu,\tag{2.8}$$

with Γ_μ being the spinor affine connection. In (2.7) γ's are the Dirac matrices in curve spacetime and obey the following algebra

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu+\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu=2g^{\mu\nu}\tag{2.9}$$

and are connected with the flat spacetime Dirac matrices $\bar{\gamma}$ in the following way

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x)=e^a_\mu(x)e^b_\nu(x)\eta_{ab},\quad \gamma_\mu(x)=e^a_\mu(x)\bar{\gamma}_a\tag{2.10}$$

where e^a_μ is a set of tetrad 4-vectors.

For the metric (2.4) we choose the tetrad as follows:

$$e_0^{(0)}=1,\quad e_1^{(1)}=a_1e^{mx_3},\quad e_2^{(2)}=a_2e^{mx_3},\quad e_3^{(3)}=a_3. \tag{2.11}$$

The Dirac matrices $\gamma^\mu(x)$ of Bianchi type-V spacetime are connected with those of Minkowski one as follows:

$$\gamma^0=\bar{\gamma}^0,\quad \gamma^1=\frac{e^{-mx_3}}{a_1}\bar{\gamma}^1,\quad \gamma^2=\frac{e^{-mx_3}}{a_2}\bar{\gamma}^2,\quad \gamma^3=\frac{1}{a_3}\bar{\gamma}^3$$
$$\gamma^5=-\imath\sqrt{-g}\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3=-\imath\bar{\gamma}^0\bar{\gamma}^1\bar{\gamma}^2\bar{\gamma}^3=\bar{\gamma}^5$$

with

$$\bar{\gamma}^0=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&-1\end{pmatrix},\quad \bar{\gamma}^1=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\0&0&1&0\\0&-1&0&0\\-1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad \bar{\gamma}^2=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&-\imath\\0&0&\imath&0\\0&\imath&0&0\\-\imath&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.12}$$
$$\bar{\gamma}^3=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&-1\\-1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad \gamma^5=\bar{\gamma}^5=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&-1\\-1&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

The spinor affine connection matrices $\Gamma_\mu(x)$ are uniquely determined up to an additive multiple of the unit matrix by the equation

$$\frac{\partial\gamma_\nu}{\partial x^\mu}-\Gamma^\rho_{\nu\mu}\gamma_\rho-\Gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu+\gamma_\nu\Gamma_\mu=0, \tag{2.13}$$

with the solution

$$\Gamma_\mu=\frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}_a\gamma^\nu\partial_\mu e_\nu^{(a)}-\frac{1}{4}\gamma_\rho\gamma^\nu\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.14}$$

For the Bianchi type-V metric (2.14) one finds the following expressions for spinor affine connections:

$$\Gamma_0=0, \tag{2.15a}$$
$$\Gamma_1=\frac{1}{2}\Big(\dot{a}_1\bar{\gamma}^1\bar{\gamma}^0+m\frac{a_1}{a_3}\bar{\gamma}^1\bar{\gamma}^3\Big)e^{mx_3}, \tag{2.15b}$$
$$\Gamma_2=\frac{1}{2}\Big(\dot{a}_2\bar{\gamma}^2\bar{\gamma}^0+m\frac{a_2}{a_3}\bar{\gamma}^2\bar{\gamma}^3\Big)e^{mx_3}, \tag{2.15c}$$
$$\Gamma_3=\frac{\dot{a}_3}{2}\bar{\gamma}^3\bar{\gamma}^0. \tag{2.15d}$$

C. Field equations

Variation of (2.1) with respect to the metric function $g_{\mu\nu}$ gives the Einstein field equation

$$G^\nu_\mu=R^\nu_\mu-\frac{1}{2}\delta^\nu_\mu R=-\kappa T^\nu_\mu, \tag{2.16}$$

where R^ν_μ and R are the Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar, respectively. Here T^ν_μ is the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field.

Varying (2.7) with respect to $\bar{\psi}(\psi)$ one finds the spinor field equations:

$$\imath\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu\psi-m_{\rm sp}\psi-\mathscr{D}\psi-\imath\mathscr{G}\gamma^5\psi=0, \tag{2.17a}$$
$$\imath\nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu+m_{\rm sp}\bar{\psi}+\mathscr{D}\bar{\psi}+\imath\mathscr{G}\bar{\psi}\gamma^5=0, \tag{2.17b}$$

where we denote $\mathscr{D}=2SF_KK_I$ and $\mathscr{G}=2PF_KK_J$, with $F_K=dF/dK$, $K_I=dK/dI$ and $K_J=dK/dJ$.

D. Energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field

The energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field is given by

$$T_\mu^\rho = \frac{\imath}{4} g^{\rho\nu}\left(\bar\psi\gamma_\mu\nabla_\nu\psi + \bar\psi\gamma_\nu\nabla_\mu\psi - \nabla_\mu\bar\psi\gamma_\nu\psi - \nabla_\nu\bar\psi\gamma_\mu\psi\right) - \delta_\mu^\rho L_{\rm sp}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then in view of (2.8) the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu{}^\rho &= \frac{\imath}{4} g^{\rho\nu}\left(\bar\psi\gamma_\mu\partial_\nu\psi + \bar\psi\gamma_\nu\partial_\mu\psi - \partial_\mu\bar\psi\gamma_\nu\psi - \partial_\nu\bar\psi\gamma_\mu\psi\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\imath}{4} g^{\rho\nu}\bar\psi\left(\gamma_\mu\Gamma_\nu + \Gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu + \gamma_\nu\Gamma_\mu + \Gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\right)\psi - \delta_\mu^\rho\left(2KF_K - F(K)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In (2.19) we used the fact that in view of (2.17) the spinor field Lagrangian can be rewritten as

$$L_{\rm sp} = 2(IF_I + JF_J) - F = 2KF_K - F(K). \tag{2.20}$$

In what follows we consider the case when the spinor field depends on t only, i.e. $\psi = \psi(t)$. As it is seen from (2.19), in case if for a given metric Γ_μ's are different, there arise nontrivial non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor. Thus, for the case in hand, after a little manipulations from (2.19) we find

$$T_0^0 = m_{\rm sp}S + F(K), \tag{2.21a}$$

$$T_1^1 = T_2^2 = T_3^3 = F(K) - 2KF_K, \tag{2.21b}$$

$$T_1^0 = -\frac{\imath}{4} m\frac{a_1}{a_3} e^{mx_3}\,\bar\psi\vec\gamma^3\vec\gamma^1\vec\gamma^0\psi = -\frac{1}{4} m\frac{a_1}{a_3} e^{mx_3} A^2, \tag{2.21c}$$

$$T_2^0 = \frac{\imath}{4} m\frac{a_2}{a_3} e^{mx_3}\,\bar\psi\vec\gamma^2\vec\gamma^3\vec\gamma^0\psi = \frac{1}{4} m\frac{a_2}{a_3} e^{mx_3} A^1, \tag{2.21d}$$

$$T_3^0 = 0, \tag{2.21e}$$

$$T_2^1 = \frac{\imath}{4}\frac{a_2}{a_1}\left(\frac{\dot a_1}{a_1} - \frac{\dot a_2}{a_2}\right)\bar\psi\vec\gamma^1\vec\gamma^2\vec\gamma^0\psi = \frac{1}{4}\frac{a_2}{a_1}\left(\frac{\dot a_1}{a_1} - \frac{\dot a_2}{a_2}\right)A^3, \tag{2.21f}$$

$$T_3^1 = \frac{\imath}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_1} e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot a_3}{a_3} - \frac{\dot a_1}{a_1}\right)\bar\psi\vec\gamma^3\vec\gamma^1\vec\gamma^0\psi = \frac{1}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_1} e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot a_3}{a_3} - \frac{\dot a_1}{a_1}\right)A^2 \tag{2.21g}$$

$$T_3^2 = \frac{\imath}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_2} e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot a_2}{a_2} - \frac{\dot a_3}{a_3}\right)\bar\psi\vec\gamma^2\vec\gamma^3\vec\gamma^0\psi = \frac{1}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_2} e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot a_2}{a_2} - \frac{\dot a_3}{a_3}\right)A^1. \tag{2.21h}$$

It can be shown that bilinear spinor forms the obey the following system of equations:

$$\dot S_0 + \mathscr{G}A_0^0 = 0, \tag{2.22a}$$

$$\dot P_0 - \Phi A_0^0 = 0, \tag{2.22b}$$

$$\dot A_0^0 + \frac{2m}{a_3}A_0^3 + \Phi P_0 - \mathscr{G}S_0 = 0, \tag{2.22c}$$

$$\dot A_0^3 + \frac{2m}{a_3}A_0^0 = 0, \tag{2.22d}$$

$$\dot v_0^0 + \frac{2m}{a_3}v_0^3 = 0, \tag{2.22e}$$

$$\dot v_0^3 + \frac{2m}{a_3}v_0^0 + \Phi Q_0^{30} + \mathscr{G}Q_0^{21} = 0, \tag{2.22f}$$

$$\dot Q_0^{30} - \Phi v_0^3 = 0, \tag{2.22g}$$

$$\dot Q_0^{21} - \mathscr{G}v_0^3 = 0, \tag{2.22h}$$

where we denote $\mathscr{X}_0 = V\mathscr{X}$ and $\Phi = m_{\rm sp} + \mathscr{D}$. Here we also define the Volume scale

$$V = a_1 a_2 a_3. \tag{2.23}$$

In (2.22), $S = \bar{\psi}\psi$ is a scalar, $P = \imath\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$ is a pseudoscalar, $v^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ - vector, $A^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\psi$ - pseudovector, and $Q^{\mu\nu} = \bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi$ is antisymmetric tensor.

Combining these equations together and taking the first integral one gets the following relations:

$$(S_0)^2 + (P_0)^2 + (A_0^0)^2 - (A_0^3)^2 = C_1 = \text{Const}, \tag{2.24a}$$
$$(Q_0^{30})^2 + (Q_0^{21})^2 + (v_0^3)^2 - (v_0^0)^2 = C_2 = \text{Const}. \tag{2.24b}$$

III. SOLUTION TO THE FIELD EQUATIONS

In this section we solve the field equations. First of all we write the expression for K. From (2.22a) and (2.22b) it can be shown that [32]

$$K = \frac{V_0^2}{V^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that the expression (3.1) holds for $K = I$ for both massive and massless spinor field, whereas, for $K = \{J, I+J, I-J\}$ it holds only for massless spinor field [31, 32].

Let us begin with the spinor field equations. In view of (2.8) and (2.15) the spinor field equation (2.17) takes the form

$$\imath\vec{\gamma}^0\big(\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{V}}{V}\psi\big) - m_{\rm sp}\psi + \frac{m}{a_3}\vec{\gamma}^3\psi - \mathscr{D}\psi - \imath\mathscr{G}\vec{\gamma}^5\psi = 0, \tag{3.2a}$$
$$\imath\big(\dot{\bar{\psi}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{V}}{V}\bar{\psi}\big)\vec{\gamma}^0 + m_{\rm sp}\bar{\psi} + \frac{m}{a_3}\bar{\psi}\vec{\gamma}^3 + \mathscr{D}\bar{\psi} + \imath\mathscr{G}\bar{\psi}\vec{\gamma}^5 = 0. \tag{3.2b}$$

As we have already mentioned, ψ is a function of t only. We consider the 4-component spinor field given by

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Denoting $\phi_i = \sqrt{V}\psi_i$ and $\bar{X}_0 = -mX_0^{1/3}$ from (3.2) for the spinor field we find we find

$$\dot{\phi}_1 + \imath\Phi\phi_1 + \Big[\imath\frac{\bar{X}_0}{V^{1/3}} + \mathscr{G}\Big]\phi_3 = 0, \tag{3.4a}$$
$$\dot{\phi}_2 + \imath\Phi\phi_2 - \Big[\imath\frac{\bar{X}_0}{V^{1/3}} - \mathscr{G}\Big]\phi_4 = 0, \tag{3.4b}$$
$$\dot{\phi}_3 - \imath\Phi\phi_3 + \Big[\imath\frac{\bar{X}_0}{V^{1/3}} - \mathscr{G}\Big]\phi_1 = 0, \tag{3.4c}$$
$$\dot{\phi}_4 - \imath\Phi\phi_4 - \Big[\imath\frac{\bar{X}_0}{V^{1/3}} + \mathscr{G}\Big]\phi_2 = 0. \tag{3.4d}$$

The foregoing system of equations can be written in the form:

$$\dot{\phi} = A\phi, \tag{3.5}$$

with $\phi = \mathrm{col}\,(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4)$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\imath\Phi & 0 & -\imath\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{G} & 0 \\ 0 & -\imath\Phi & 0 & \imath\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{G} \\ -\imath\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G} & 0 & \imath\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \imath\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G} & 0 & \imath\Phi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

where we denote $\mathscr{Y} = \frac{\bar{X}_0}{V^{1/3}}$. It can be easily found that

$$\det A = \left(\Phi^2 + \mathscr{Y}^2 + \mathscr{G}^2\right)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

The solution to the equation (3.5) can be written in the form

$$\phi(t) = \mathrm{Texp}\Big(-\int_t^{t_1} A_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\phi(t_1), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\imath\mathscr{D} & 0 & -\imath\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{G} & 0 \\ 0 & -\imath\mathscr{D} & 0 & \imath\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{G} \\ -\imath\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G} & 0 & \imath\mathscr{D} & 0 \\ 0 & \imath\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G} & 0 & \imath\mathscr{D} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

and $\phi(t_1)$ is the solution at $t = t_1$. As we have already shown, $K = V_0^2/V^2$ for $K = \{J, I+J, I-J\}$ with trivial spinor-mass and $K = V_0^2/V^2$ for $K = I$ for any spinor-mass. Since our Universe is expanding, the quantities $\mathscr{D}$, $\mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ become trivial at large t. Hence in case of $K = I$ with non-trivial spinor-mass one can assume $\phi(t_1) = \mathrm{col}\,(e^{-\imath m_{\mathrm{sp}} t_1}, e^{-\imath m_{\mathrm{sp}} t_1}, e^{\imath m_{\mathrm{sp}} t_1}, e^{\imath m_{\mathrm{sp}} t_1})$, whereas for other cases with trivial spinor-mass we have $\phi(t_1) = \mathrm{col}\,(\phi_1^0, \phi_2^0, \phi_3^0, \phi_4^0)$ with ϕ_i^0 being some constants. Here we have used the fact that $\Phi = m_{\mathrm{sp}} + \mathscr{D}$. The other way to solve the system (3.4) is given in [7].

Now let us consider the Einstein field equations. In view of (2.6) and (2.21) with find the following system of Einstein Equations

$$\frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2} + \frac{\ddot{a}_3}{a_3} + \frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3} - \frac{m^2}{a_3^2} = \kappa\big(F(K) - 2KF_K\big), \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}_3}{a_3} + \frac{\ddot{a}_1}{a_1} + \frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1} - \frac{m^2}{a_3^2} = \kappa\big(F(K) - 2KF_K\big), \tag{3.10b}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}_1}{a_1} + \frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2} + \frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2} - \frac{m^2}{a_3^2} = \kappa\big(F(K) - 2KF_K\big), \tag{3.10c}$$

$$\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2} + \frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3} + \frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1} - \frac{3m^2}{a_3^2} = \kappa\big(m_{\mathrm{sp}}S + F(K)\big), \tag{3.10d}$$

with the additional constraints

$$\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}+\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}-2\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}=0, \tag{3.11a}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}m\frac{a_1}{a_3}e^{mx_3}A^2=0, \tag{3.11b}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}m\frac{a_2}{a_3}e^{mx_3}A^1=0, \tag{3.11c}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{a_2}{a_1}\left(\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}-\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}\right)A^3=0, \tag{3.11d}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_1}e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}-\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}\right)A^2=0, \tag{3.11e}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{a_3}{a_2}e^{-mx_3}\left(\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}-\frac{\dot{a}_3}{a_3}\right)A^1=0. \tag{3.11f}$$

From (3.11a) we have the following relations between the metric functions:

$$a_1a_2=X_2a_3^2, \quad X_2=\text{const}. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand from (3.11b) and (3.11c) one dully finds

$$A^2=0, \quad \text{and} \quad A^1=0. \tag{3.13}$$

In view of (3.13) the relations (3.11e) and (3.11f) fulfill even without imposing restrictions on the metric functions. From (3.11d) one finds there are two distinct possibilities: (i) impose restriction on the metric function; (ii) impose it on the spinor field:

$$\frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1}-\frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2}=0, \tag{3.14a}$$

$$A^3=0. \tag{3.14b}$$

Case I Imposing the restriction on the metric function (3.14a) we find the following relations between a_1 and a_2:

$$a_2=X_1a_1, \quad X_1=\text{const}. \tag{3.15}$$

Then in view of (2.23) from (3.12) and (3.15) for the metric functions we finally find

$$a_1=\frac{X_2^{1/6}}{\sqrt{X_1}}V^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad a_2=X_2^{1/6}\sqrt{X_1}V^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad a_3=X_2^{-1/3}V^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus we see that the spinor field nonlinearity leads to $a_1\sim a_2\sim a_3$ from the very beginning, if restriction is imposed on the metric functions. Similar result was found for Bianchi type-I spacetime in [31]. It should be noted that thanks to non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field in this case we could find the expressions for the metric functions without additional condition such as proportionality of shear and expansion.

Thus the expressions for the metric functions are obtained in terms of volume scale V. The equation for V can be derived from the Einstein Equation (2.6) which after some manipulations looks

$$\ddot{V}=6m^2X_2^{2/3}V^{1/3}+\frac{3\kappa}{2}\left[m_{\text{sp}}S+2\big(F(K)-KF_K\big)\right]V. \tag{3.17}$$

Further giving the concrete form of $F(K)$ one can draw the picture of evolution of the Universe. As it was shown earlier, $K=V_0^2/V^2$ for all cases with a trivial spinor-mass, whereas it is so for

$K = I = S^2$ even with a non-zero spinor-mass. Hence we consider the case with $K = I$, setting $F = \lambda I^n$. Then inserting it into (3.17) we find the following equation

$$\ddot{V} = \Phi_1(V), \quad \Phi_1(V) \equiv 6m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{1/3} + \frac{3\kappa}{2}\left[m_{\rm sp} V_0 + 2\lambda(1-n)V_0^{2n} V^{1-2n}\right], \tag{3.18}$$

with the first integral

$$\dot{V} = \Phi_2(V), \quad \Phi_2(V) \equiv \sqrt{9m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3} + 3\kappa\left[m_{\rm sp} V_0 V + \lambda V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}\right] + V_c}, \quad V_c = \text{const.} \tag{3.19}$$

The solution to the foregoing equation can be formally presented as a quadrature as

$$\int \frac{dV}{\sqrt{9m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3} + 3\kappa\left[m_{\rm sp} V_0 V + \lambda V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}\right] + V_c}} = t + t_0, \quad t_0 = \text{const.} \tag{3.20}$$

The equation (3.18) can be solved numerically. In doing so we have to give concrete value of problem parameters $m, X_2, \kappa, m_{\rm sp}, \lambda, V_0, n, V_c$ and initial value of $V = V(0)$, whereas the value of $\dot{V}(0)$ should be calculated from (3.19), which being a square root imposes some natural restriction on the choice of problem parameters and initial value.

To determine the character of the evolution, let us first study the asymptotic behavior of the equation (3.18). It should be recall that we have $K = V_0^2/V^2$. Since all the physical quantities constructed from the spinor fields as well as the invariants of gravitational fields are inverse function of V of some degree, it can be concluded that at any spacetime point where the volume scale becomes zero, it is a singular point [5]. So we assume at the beginning V was small but non-zero. Then from (3.18) we see that at $t \to 0$ the term $m_{\rm sp} V_0$ prevails if $1 - 2n > 0$, i.e., $n < 1/2$. In case of $n = 1/2$ this term can be added to the mass term. And finally for $n > 1/2$ the nonlinear term becomes predominant. Recalling that we are considering an expanding Universe, at $t \to \infty$ the volume scale should be quite large. In that case the term $6m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{1/3}$ prevails if $1 - 2n < 1/3$, i.e., $n > 1/3$, while for $n < 1/3$ the nonlinear term prevails at $t \to \infty$. Since we are mainly interested in the nonlinearity that gives rise to late time acceleration, it is safe to consider $n < 1/3$ including the negative value for n.

To determine the character of expansion at large time let us introduce the deceleration parameter, which we determine as

$$q = -\frac{V\ddot{V}}{\dot{V}^2}, \tag{3.21}$$

which in view of (3.18) and (3.19) can be written as

$$q = -\frac{V\Phi_1(V)}{\Phi_2^2(V)} = -\frac{6m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3} + \frac{3\kappa}{2}\left[m_{\rm sp} V_0 V + 2\lambda(1-n)V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}\right]}{9m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3} + 3\kappa\left[m_{\rm sp} V_0 V + \lambda V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}\right] + V_c}. \tag{3.22}$$

Now let us see what happens to q at large t.

If we consider the case with $n < 1/3$ when the nonlinear term decisively predominates, at large t we can rewrite (3.22) as

$$q\big|_{t\to\infty} \approx -\frac{3\kappa\lambda(1-n)V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}}{3\kappa\lambda V_0^{2n} V^{2(1-n)}} = -(1-n) < 0, \quad \text{for} \quad n < 1/3, \tag{3.23}$$

whereas, if we consider $n > 1/3$ with predominant $6m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{1/3}$ as large t, for q in this case we find

$$q\big|_{t\to\infty} \approx -\frac{6m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3}}{9m^2 X_2^{2/3} V^{4/3}} = -\frac{2}{3} < 0, \quad \text{for} \quad n > 1/3. \tag{3.24}$$

Thus we conclude that the models gives rise to an accelerated mode of expansion.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we have plotted the evolution of volume scale $V(t)$ and deceleration parameter q, respectively. In doing so we have considered the following problem parameters: $m = 1, X_2 = 1, \kappa = 1, m_{\rm sp} = 1, \lambda = 1, V_0 = 1, V_c = 10$ and $V(0) = 0.9$. It can be noted that the parameter m enters into the equation (3.18) as m^2, hence the sign of m has no significance. As for as n is concerned, we have considered two different values of n, namely $n = 1/4$ that makes the nonlinear term predominant at large t and $n = 2$ when the terms with m prevails. As we have shown in our asymptotic analysis, in both cases $q < 0$, i.e., the model expands with acceleration. In Figs. 1 and 2 the blue dotted line stands for the value of $n = 1/4$, whereas the solid red line corresponds to $n = 2$.



FIG. 1. Evolution of the volume scale V of the Universe filled with massive spinor field. Here we consider the following values of problem parameters $m = 1, X_2 = 1, \kappa = 1, m_{\rm sp} = 1, \lambda = 1, V_0 = 1, V_c = 10$ and $V(0) = 0.9$. The blue dotted line stands for the value of $n = 1/4$, whereas the solid red line corresponds to $n = 2$.

.

It should be noted that in this case it is possible to obtain a cyclic or periodical solution for V. In fact a negative self-coupling constant λ can give rise to such a solution. In Fig. 3 we have illustrated the evolution on V for a negative λ. As one sees, in this case the Universe begin to expand from the given initial value, then attains some maximum before contracting again.

case II On the other hand exploiting the restriction (3.14b), i.e., $A^3 = 0$ from (2.22d) one finds $A^0 = 0$. Hence in this case we find $A^\mu = 0$, which, thanks to the identity $I_A = -(I + J) = -(S^2 + P^2) = 0$ ultimately leads to $S = 0$ and $P = 0$, i.e., in this case the spinor field nonlinearity vanishes [31].

In this case for V we find

$$\ddot{V} = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

with the solution

$$V = b_0 t + b_1, \quad b_0, b_1 - \text{consts}. \tag{3.26}$$

As far as spinor field is concerned the Matrix A in (3.5) in this case become trivial and the components of the spinor field can be written as

$$\psi_i = \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{V}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{3.27}$$



FIG. 2. Evolution of the deceleration parameter q. Here we consider the following values of problem parameters $m = 1, X_2 = 1, \kappa = 1, m_{\rm sp} = 1, \lambda = 1, V_0 = 1, V_c = 10$ and $V(0) = 0.9$. The blue dotted line stands for the value of $n = 1/4$, whereas the solid red line corresponds to $n = 2$.

.

with c_i's being the constant of integration obeying

$$c_1^* c_1 + c_2^* c_2 - c_3^* c_3 - c_4^* c_4 = 0, \tag{3.28a}$$
$$c_1^* c_3 + c_2^* c_4 - c_3^* c_1 - c_4^* c_2 = 0. \tag{3.28b}$$

It should be noted this result coincides with the one obtained for Bianchi type VI_0 spacetime [32]. Analogical result was also obtained for Bianchi type I spacetime, when the restriction was imposed on the spinor field only [31].

IV. CONCLUSION

Within the scope of Bianchi type-V spacetime we study the role of spinor field on the evolution of the Universe. It is found that the presence of nontrivial non-diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field imposes some severe restriction to the system. In one case we found that the metric functions are similar to each other, i.e., $a_1 \sim a_2 \sim a_3$. Analogical result was found for a Bianchi type-I model, which as a result evolves into a FRW spacetime [30, 31]. In this case the Universe expands with acceleration if the self-coupling constant λ is taken to be a positive one, whereas a negative λ gives rise to a cyclic or periodic solution. In the second case the spinor mass and the spinor field nonlinearity vanish and the Universe expands linearly in time. This results is similar to the one obtained in [30, 31] for Bianchi type-I model and [32] for Bianchi type-VI_0 model.

Acknowledgments
This work is supported in part by a joint Romanian-LIT, JINR, Dubna Research Project, theme no. 05-6-1119-2014/2016.

Taking the opportunity I would like to thank the referee for some very useful suggestions those guides me to significantly improve the manuscript.



FIG. 3. Evolution of the volume scale V of the Universe filled with massive spinor field. Here we consider the following values of problem parameters $m = 1, X_2 = 1, \kappa = 1, m_{\rm sp} = 1, \lambda = -1, V_0 = 1, V_c = 10, n = 1/4$, and $V(0) = 0.9$. The negative value of λ gives rise to the oscillatory mode of expansion.

.

[1] M. Henneaux, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 857 (1980) 2
[2] U. Ochs and M. Sorg, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **32**, 1531 (1993)
[3] B. Saha and G.N. Shikin, Gen. Relat. Grav. **29**, 1099 (1997)
[4] B. Saha and G.N. Shikin, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 5305 (1997)
[5] B. Saha, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123501 (2001) 3, 9
[6] B. Saha and T. Boyadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 124010 (2004)
[7] B. Saha, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 124006 (2004) 2, 7
[8] B. Saha, Phys. Particle. Nuclei. **37**. Suppl. 1, S13 (2006)
[9] B. Saha, Grav. & Cosmol. **12**(2-3)(46-47), 215 (2006) 2
[10] B. Saha, Romanian Rep. Phys. **59**, 649 (2007).
[11] B. Saha, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 124030 (2006) 2
[12] C. Armendáriz-Picón and P.B. Greene, Gen. Relat. Grav. **35**, 1637 (2003)
[13] M.O. Ribas, F.P. Devecchi, and G.M. Kremer, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 123502 (2005) 2
[14] R.C de Souza and G.M. Kremer, Class. Quantum Grav. **25**, 225006 (2008)
[15] G.M. Kremer and R.C de Souza, arXiv:1301.5163v1 [gr-qc] (2013)
[16] N. J. Popławski, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 73 (2010)
[17] L. Fabbri, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 634 (2013)
[18] L. Fabbri, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **43**, 1607 (2011)
[19] L. Fabbri, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 0475024 (2012)
[20] S. Vignolo, L. Fabbri, and R. Cianci, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 112502 (2011)
[21] N. J. Popławski, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 107502 (2012)

[22] N. J. Popławski, Gen. Releat. Grav. **44**, 1007 (2012) 2
[23] A.G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998) 2
[24] S Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999) 2
[25] V.G.Krechet, M.L. Fel'chenkov, and G.N. Shikin, Grav. & Cosmol. **14** No 3(55), 292 (2008) 2
[26] B. Saha, Cent. Euro. J. Phys. **8**, 920 (2010)
[27] B. Saha, Romanian Rep. Phys. **62**, 209 (2010)
[28] B. Saha, Astrophys. Space Sci. **331**, 243 (2011)
[29] B. Saha, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51**, 1812 (2012) 2
[30] B. Saha, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, 1109 (2014) 2, 11
[31] B. Saha, Astrophys. Space Sci. **357**, 28 (2015) 2, 6, 8, 10, 11
[32] B. Saha, The European Physical Journal Plus **130** 208 (2015) 2, 6, 11
[33] B. Saha, Gravitation & Cosmology **16**, 160 (2010). 2
[34] Saha B. and Visinescu M., Romainan J. Phys. **55**, 1064 (2010).
[35] J. Ib*áñ*ez, R.J. van der Hoogen, and A.A. Coley, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 928 (1995).
[36] J. Socorro and E.R. Medina, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 087702 (2000).
[37] M. Weaver, Classical Quant. Grav. **17**, 421 (2000). 2
[38] B. Saha, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 1314 (2013). 2
[39] B. Saha, Phys. Part. Nuclei **45**, 349 (2014)
[40] A.K. Yadav, Astrophys. Space Sci. **335**, 565 (2011). 2
[41] T.W.B. Kibble, J. Math. Phys. **2**, 212 (1961) 3